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## MBPT and excitations

$\left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta+v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{r})+v_{H x c}[n](\mathbf{r})\right) \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{i} \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})$
Lagrangian multiplier
$\hat{h}_{0}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \Psi_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)+\int \Sigma\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2} ; \epsilon_{i}\right) \Psi_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}_{2}=\epsilon_{i} \Psi_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)$.
Pole of the Green
function
Charged excitation energies are well described within the GW approximation for the self-energy

Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 226402 (2006)


GW gaps are in much better agreement with experiments

Absorption spectra are directly connected to the many-body irreducible polarizability $\tilde{\chi}$

$$
\epsilon_{\mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{G}_{2}}(\mathbf{q} ; \omega)=\delta_{\mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{G}_{2}}-v\left(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_{1}\right) \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{G}_{2}}(\mathbf{q} ; \omega),
$$

$$
\epsilon_{M}^{\mathrm{LF}}(\omega)=\lim _{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{00}^{-1}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)}
$$

Local field effects included


Neutral excitation energies are the poles of the irreducible polarizability

## Ab-initio absorption spectra

RPA polarizabilites:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{\mathrm{KS}}(12)=-i G^{\mathrm{KS}}(12) G^{\mathrm{KS}}(21) \\
& \chi^{\mathrm{GW}}(12)=-i G^{\mathrm{GW}}(12) G^{\mathrm{GW}}(21)
\end{aligned}
$$

- RPA with GW corrections leads to a blue-shifted spectrum

The first peak is missing. Important phenomena are not captured by the RPA!

The exact many-body polarizability

$$
\tilde{\chi}(12)=-i G(13) \Gamma(34 ; 2) G(41)
$$




Vertex corrections are needed to describe the phenomena involved in neutral excitations

## Hedin's pentagon and BSE <br> Phys. Rev. 139, A796-A823 (1965)

Full set of equations


The GW approximation


Beyond GW: the second iteration of the pentagon

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{GW}}(12)=i G(12) W(12) \Longrightarrow \frac{\delta \Sigma_{G W}(12)}{\delta G(34)}=i \delta(13) \delta(24) W(12)+i G \frac{\delta W}{\delta G}
$$

Using $\Gamma=1+\frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta G} G G \Gamma$ one obtains an equation for $\Gamma$ and a new approximation for $\tilde{\chi}$

## BSE in a nutshell

The BSE must be formulated in terms of the four-point functions $L\left(11^{\prime}, 22^{\prime}\right)$ and $L^{0}\left(11^{\prime}, 22^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\tilde{\chi}(12)=L(11,22) \quad \chi^{0}(12)=L^{0}(11,22)
$$

Integral equation for $L$ :

$$
L=L^{0}+L^{0} K L \Longrightarrow L=\left[1-L^{0} K\right]^{-1} L^{0}
$$

Local field effects are included by using the modified kernel

$$
K(1234)=\delta(12) \delta(34) \bar{v}(13)-\delta(13) \delta(24) W(12)
$$

with the modified Coulomb interaction $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\bar{v}(\mathbf{q})=v(\mathbf{q}) \text { if } \mathbf{q} \neq 0 \\ \bar{v}(\mathbf{q}=0)=0\end{array}\right.$

The inversion of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{G}_{2}}$ is thus avoided!
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## BSE in the e-h basis set <br> Phys. Rev. B 62, 8, 4927 (2000)

$$
L=\left[1-L^{0} K\right]^{-1} L^{0}
$$

1. Select a finite basis set thus discretizing the equation
2. Solve the problem with matrix algebra
1) Kohn-Sham states are used to expand the four-point functions

$$
F(1234)=\sum_{\substack{\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right) \\\left(n_{3} n_{4}\right)}} F_{\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)\left(n_{3} n_{4}\right)} \psi_{n_{1}}^{\dagger}(1) \psi_{n_{2}}(2) \psi_{n_{3}}(3) \psi_{n_{4}}^{\dagger}(4) \quad n=(b, \mathbf{k}, \sigma)
$$

$L^{0}$ is diagonal in the KS basis set $L_{\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)\left(n_{3} n_{4}\right)}^{0}(\omega)=\frac{\left(f_{n_{2}}-f_{n_{1}}\right)}{\left(\epsilon_{n_{2}}-\epsilon_{n_{1}}-\omega\right)} \delta_{n_{1} n_{3}} \delta_{n_{2} n_{4}}$
2) After some algebra one obtains:

$$
L_{\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)\left(n_{3} n_{4}\right)}(\omega)=[H-\omega]_{\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)\left(n_{3} n_{4}\right)}^{-1}\left(f_{n_{4}}-f_{n_{3}}\right)
$$

We have assumes a static $W$ and an energy gap

$$
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(c, v, \mathbf{k}, \sigma) \\
(v, c, \mathbf{k}, \sigma)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Spectra from the BSE

Local field effects are included

$$
\epsilon_{M}(\omega)=1-\lim _{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} v(\mathbf{q}) \tilde{\chi}_{00}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)
$$

Using the matrix notation in the e-h basis set

$$
L=[H-\omega]^{-1} F
$$

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& \left|v^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right\rangle & \left|c^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\hline\langle v c| & 1 & 0 \\
\langle c v| & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and using $\tilde{\chi}(12)=L(11,22)$
the macroscopic dielectric function can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{M}(\omega)=1-\lim _{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} v(\mathbf{q})\langle P(\mathbf{q})|[H-\omega]^{-1} F|P(\mathbf{q})\rangle \\
P(\mathbf{q})_{n_{1} n_{2}}=\left\langle n_{2}\right| e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\left|n_{1}\right\rangle=\delta_{n_{1} n_{2}}+i \mathbf{q} \cdot\left\langle n_{2}\right| \mathbf{r}\left|n_{1}\right\rangle+O\left(q^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Selection rules for the dipole: 1. spin
2. irreducible representations

## The BS Hamiltonian <br> Phys. Rev. B 62, 4927-4944 (2000)

In spin-unpolarized systems only singlet states contribute to the optical properties

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{nsppol}=1 \\
\bar{v}-W \rightarrow 2 \bar{v}-W
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R=R^{\dagger} & \text { Resonant block } \\
C=C^{t} & \text { Coupling block } \\
H \neq H^{\dagger} & \text { due to } C
\end{array}
$$

$R$ is diagonal dominant:
Transition energies
on the diagonal

$$
R_{(v c)\left(v^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)}=\left(\epsilon_{c}-\epsilon_{v}\right) \delta_{v v^{\prime}} \delta_{c c^{\prime}}+K_{(v c)\left(v^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)}
$$

In extended systems, $C$ is smaller than $R$

$$
C_{(v c)\left(c^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)}=K_{(v c)\left(c^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Tamm-Dancoff approximation (TDA) neglects

$$
H^{\mathrm{TDA}}=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& \left|v^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right\rangle & \left|c^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\hline\langle v c| & R & 0 \\
\langle c v| & 0 & -R^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Spin structure of the BSE <br> Phys. Rev. B 77, 184408 (2008)

$P(\mathbf{q})_{n_{1} n_{2}} \approx_{\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0} \delta_{n_{1} n_{2}}+i \mathbf{q} \cdot\left\langle n_{2}\right| \mathbf{r}\left|n_{1}\right\rangle$
Only spin-preserving transitions
(violet region) contribute to $\epsilon_{M}(\omega)$
$H=\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} & |\uparrow \uparrow\rangle & |\downarrow \downarrow\rangle & |\uparrow \downarrow\rangle & |\downarrow \uparrow\rangle \\ \hline\langle\uparrow \uparrow| & T-W+\bar{v} & \bar{v} & 0 & 0 \\ \langle\downarrow \downarrow| & \bar{v} & T-W+\bar{v} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline\langle\uparrow \downarrow| & 0 & 0 & T-W & \\ \langle\downarrow \uparrow| & 0 & 0 & 0 & T-W\end{array}\right)$

The resonant block now consists of four spin-dependent blocks:

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc} 
& \left|v^{\prime} c^{\prime} \uparrow\right\rangle & \left|v^{\prime} c^{\prime} \downarrow\right\rangle \\
\hline\langle v c \uparrow| & (T-W+\bar{v})^{\uparrow \uparrow} & \bar{v}^{\uparrow \downarrow} \\
\langle v c \downarrow| & \bar{v}^{\uparrow \uparrow} & (T-W+\bar{v})^{\Downarrow}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Does it work?

Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 601-659 (2002)


Silicon absorption spectrum
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## GW+BSE flowchart

- Files are used to connect the different steps
- Different MPI algorithms optimized for the different run-level
- Fortran-IO or MPI-IO for reading and writing
- Big arrays are MPI-distributed with the exception of $W$
- Matrix-vector operations are done in parallel using a column-wise decomposition
- In-core and out-of-core solution for $W$
- The first NC implementation of the BSE code was based on routines from the EXC code. Many thanks to the EXC developers for sharing their code



## BSE with plane waves

## Exchange term

$$
\bar{v}_{(v c \mathbf{k})\left(v^{\prime} c^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{G} \neq 0} \bar{v}(\mathbf{G})\langle c \mathbf{k}| e^{i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}|v \mathbf{k}\rangle\left\langle v^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right| e^{-i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}}\left|c^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

bs_exchange_term $=0$ to exclude this term (no local field effects)

## Coulomb term

$$
W_{(v c \mathbf{k})\left(v^{\prime} c^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{G}_{2}} W_{\mathbf{G}_{1} \mathbf{G}_{2}}^{0}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}-\mathbf{k}\right)\left\langle v^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right| e^{i\left(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{r}}|v \mathbf{k}\rangle\langle c \mathbf{k}| e^{-i\left(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{r}}\left|c^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

The most CPU demanding term


## Oscillator matrix elements

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}, b_{1}\right| e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}}\left|\mathbf{k}, b_{2}\right\rangle=\hat{\mathcal{F}}\left[u_{\overline{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} b_{1}} u_{\mathbf{k} b_{2}}^{\dagger}\right]\left(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{G}_{0}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}=\overline{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}+\mathbf{G}_{0}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} \in B Z
$$

fftgw to control the aliasing due to the convolution
Zero padded FFT leads to a significant speed-up


## PAW oscillator matrix elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Psi_{b_{1} \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}\right| e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{r}}\left|\Psi_{b_{2} \mathbf{k}}\right\rangle= & \mathrm{PW}+\sum_{i j}\left\langle\tilde{\Psi}_{b_{1} \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} \mid \tilde{p}_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{p}_{j} \mid \tilde{\Psi}_{b_{2} \mathbf{k}}\right\rangle \times \\
& e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_{i}}\left[\left\langle\phi_{i}\right| e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)}\left|\phi_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{i}\right| e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}) \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)}\left|\tilde{\phi}_{j}\right\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The PAW form factors needed for the spline are tabulated on a 1D-mesh (m_paw_pwij.F90)

$$
\int_{0}^{r_{c}^{a}} j_{l}(|\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G}| r)\left(\phi_{n_{i} l_{i}} \phi_{n_{j} l_{j}}-\tilde{\phi}_{n_{i} l_{i}} \tilde{\phi}_{n_{j} l_{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} r
$$

PAW projections are symmetrized on-the-fly in paw_symcprj. F90

$$
\left\langle\tilde{p}_{i}^{a} \mid \tilde{\Psi}_{n \mathcal{R} \mathbf{k}}\right\rangle=e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{L}} \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha m_{i}}^{l_{i}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{-1}\right)\left\langle\tilde{p}_{n_{i} l_{i} \alpha}^{a^{\prime}} \mid \tilde{\Psi}_{n \mathbf{k}}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{a}-\mathbf{t}\right)=\mathbf{R}^{a^{\prime}}+\mathbf{L}
$$

## BSE solvers

Three different solvers can be selected using bs_algorithm:

## 1. Direct diagonalization:

Lapack or ScaLapack+MPI-IO (complete or partial diago)
Eigenvectors, energies, DOS, oscillator strengths and excitonic amplitudes

- Bad scaling with the size of the matrix

2. Haydock iterative method

Very efficient, excellent MPI scalability

- Only optical spectra and an approximated DOS

3. Iterative diagonalization with the preconditioned CG method

- Direct access to binding energies, DOS, wavefunctions .
- Efficient provided that the number of eigenvectors $\ll N_{e h}$
- Coupling is not supported yet


## BSE spectra with diagonalization <br> Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4510-4513 (1998)

$L=[H-\omega]^{-1} F$
The inversion for each frequency is avoided by using the spectral decomposition of H

For a non-singular operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
H|\lambda\rangle=\epsilon_{\lambda}|\lambda\rangle \\
O_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}=\left\langle\lambda \mid \lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
H=\sum_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}} \epsilon_{\lambda}|\lambda\rangle O_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}\left\langle\lambda^{\prime}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

TDA allows one to use standard methods (CG or direct diago)
Only the resonant block is needed for TDA calculations
The inclusion of the coupling block requires a more involved treatment...

## Lanczos-Haydock algorithm

## Comput. Phys. Commun. 20, 11 (1980)

$\langle P|(\omega-R)^{-1}|P\rangle$ can be calculated bypassing completely the diagonalization!

Dense Hermitian matrix

$$
R=R^{\dagger}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
* & * & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

Only simple matrix-vector multiplications are required
Only three vectors are needed to construct the Lanczos basis

First step
$b_{1}=0$
$|1\rangle=\frac{|P\rangle}{\||P\rangle \|}$
First vector of the Lanczos basis

Real symmetric tridiagonal form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
a_{1} & b_{2} & & & \\
b_{2} & a_{2} & b_{3} & & \\
& b_{3} & * & * & \\
& & * & * & * \\
& & & * & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Iterative solution of the BSE

$$
R^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
b_{2} & a_{2} & b_{3} & & \vdots \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
\vdots & & b_{k-1} & a_{k-1} & b_{k} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{k} & a_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Continued fraction

$$
\langle P|(\omega-R)^{-1}|P\rangle=\frac{\|P\|^{2}}{\omega-a_{1}-\frac{b_{2}^{2}}{\omega-a_{2}-\frac{b_{3}^{2}}{\cdots}}}
$$The number of iterations required to converge is almost independent on the size of the matrix ( 100-200)

Easy to MPI parallelize
Terminator helps to converge the spectrum. Assuming $\alpha_{n}=\alpha_{\infty}, \beta_{n}=\beta_{\infty}$ for $n>n^{0}$

$$
t(\omega)=\frac{1}{2 \beta_{\infty}^{2}}\left\{\left(\omega-\alpha_{\infty}\right)-\sqrt{\left(\omega-\alpha_{\infty}\right)^{2}-4 \beta_{\infty}^{2}}\right\}
$$Formalism can be generalized to non-Hermitian matrices. See NanoLetters, 6, 257, (2010)Eigenvalues and eigenvectors are not accessible
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## Wavefunction descriptor

- A single wavefunction is represented by the Fortran datatype wave_t
- wave_t contains three buffers for $u(\mathbf{G}), u(\mathbf{r}),\left\langle p_{i} \mid \tilde{\Psi}\right\rangle$
- The wavefunction descriptor, Wfd, is a container storing:
i) The array of wave_t: $\operatorname{Wfd} \% \operatorname{Wave}^{(b, k, s)}$
ii) Internal tables for performing zero-padded FFT
iii) G-vectors and form factors for basic operations in G-space
iv) Tables with the MPI distribution of the states
- The internal status can be changed at run-time (e.g. the FFT mesh)
- Loops are MPI-parallelized depending on the availability of the states


## Basic Methods

(A) $u(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow u(\mathbf{r}) \quad$ call wfd_get_ur(Wfd, ib, ik, spin, ur)
(B) $u(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow\left\langle p_{i} \mid \tilde{\Psi}\right\rangle$
call wfd_get_cprj(Wfd, ib, ik, spin, Crystal, Cp)
(C) FFT1 $=>$ FFT2
call wfd_change_ngfft(Wfd, Crystal, Psps, new_ngfft)
(D) $|\Psi\rangle \Rightarrow V_{\mathrm{n}}|\Psi\rangle$
call wfd_vnlpsi(Wfd, band, ik, spin, npw, Crystal,\& Psps, Hamk, vnl_psi, opaw_psi)

Bands, k-points and spins are accessed using their global index
FFT is skipped if $u(\mathbf{r})$ is already in memory
Execution stops and dump an error file if the wave function is not available
subroutine wfd_mkrho(Wfd, Crystal, Psps, Kmesh, Bstr, ngfftf, nfftf,rhor)
! Recalculate the internal FFT tables if needed.
call wfd_change_ngfft(Wfd, Cryst, Psps, ngfftf)
! Distribute the states according to their availability.
Iter_bks = wfd_iterator_bks(Wfd, bks_mask=ABS(occ)>=tol8)
! Summing over ( $b, k, s$ ).
do spin=1, nsppol
do $i k=1$, nkibz
do ib_iter=1,iter_len(Iter_bks,ik,spin) ib = yield(Iter_bks,ib_iter,ik,spin) ! Retrieve my band index. call wfd_get_ur(Wfd,ib,ik,spin,ur) $u(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow u(\mathbf{r})$ do $i r=1, n f f t f$ ! Accumulate my density. rhor(ir,spin) $=$ rhor(ir,spin) + \&
\& occ(ib,ik,spin)*CONJG(ur(ir))*ur(ir)*wt(ik) end do
end do
end do
end do
! Gather the total rhor.
call xsum_mpi(rhor,Wfd\%comm,ierr)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MPI parallelized! } \\
& n(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n \mathbf{k} \sigma}^{\text {IBZ }} f_{n \mathbf{k} \sigma}\left|\Psi_{n \mathbf{k} \sigma}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pros and Cons

- Flexible, easy to use and to extend
- Support different levels of memory distribution
- Loops are MPI-parallelized automatically

States can be replicated among the nodes

- Useless states can be deallocated during the run if needed

Different instances of the same object

Too flexible!

- Bands are not contiguous in memory, workspace arrays might be needed for particular algorithms
- The internal buffers must be declared as pointers (F90 limitation)
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## Future Developments

- Inhomogeneous k-meshes
- Better control of memory
- Interpolation schemes in k-space
- Temperature effects due to e-ph coupling
- Non-collinear magnetism and spin orbit
- Beyond static W: dynamical BSE
- Generalization to finite momentum transfer

